arXiv:1611.09376v3 [math.AG] 30 Dec 2016

GSV-INDEX FOR HOLOMORPHIC PFAFF SYSTEMS

MAURÍCIO CORRÊA AND DIOGO DA SILVA MACHADO

Abstract. In this work we introduce the GSV-index for varieties invariant by a holomorphic Pfaff system on complex manifolds. We work with Pfaff systems not necessarily locally decomposable. We prove a non-negativity property for the index. As an application, we prove that the non-negativity of the GSV-index gives us the obstruction to the solution of the Poincaré problem for Pfaff systems on projectives spaces.

1. Introduction

The GSV-index for vector fields tangent to hypersurfaces with isolated singularities was introduced by X. Gómez-Mont, J. Seade and A. Verjovsky [15] generalizing the Poincaré-Hopf index. The concept of GSV-index for vector fields tangent to complete intersections was extended by J. Seade and T. Suwa in [22, 23] and J. -P. Brasselet, J. Seade and T. Suwa in [3].

D. Lehmann, M. G. Soares and T. Suwa [16] introduced the *virtual index* for vector fields on complex analytic varieties via Chern-Weil theory. They showed that this index coincides with the index GSV-index if the variety is a local complete intersection variety with isolated singularities. X. Gomez-Mont in [14] defined the *homological index*, via homological algebra, which also coincides with the GSV-index. Recently, T. Suwa in [25] gave a new interpretation of GSV-index as a residue arising from a certain localization of the Chern class of the ambient tangent bundle.

M. Brunella in [5] introduced the GSV-index in terms of the germs of 1-forms inducing the foliation and establish a relation between the GSV-index with the *Khanedani-Suwa variational index* [21] and *Camacho-Sad index* [2]. Moreover, he showed that the non-negativity of the GSV-index is the obstruction for the solution for the Poincaré problem in complex compact surfaces. We recall that the *Poincaré problem* is a question proposed by H. Poincaré in [18] of bounding the degree of algebraic solutions of an algebraic differential equation on the complex plane. Many authors have been working on Poincaré problem and its generalizations for Pfaff systems, see for instance the papers by Cerveau and Lins Neto [11], M. G. Soares [26], M. Brunella and L. G. Mendes [6], E. Esteves and S. L. Kleiman [13], V.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32S65, 37F75; secondary 14F05.

Key words and phrases. Pfaff Systems, Residues, Invariant varieties.

Cavalier and D. Lehmann [8], E. Esteves and J. D. A. Cruz [12], and M. Corrêa and M. Jardim [9].

In [25] T. Suwa proposed generalize certain formulas of GSV-index for vector fields for higher dimensional case. In this work, our main goal is define the GSV-index for Pfaff systems on complex manifolds. We also demonstrate some of its properties. As application, we prove that the non-negativity of the GSV-index gives us the obstruction to the solution of the Poincaré Problem for Pfaff systems on projective complex space.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Tatsuo Suwa and Marcio G. Soares for interesting conversations. This work was partially supported by CNPq, CAPES, FAPEMIG and FAPESP-2015/20841-5. We are grateful to Imecc–Unicamp for hospitality.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Holomorphic Pfaff Systems.**

Definition 2.1. Let X be an n-dimensional complex manifold. A holomorphic Pfaff system of rank p $(1 \leq p \leq n)$ on X is a non-trivial section $\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega^p_X \otimes \mathcal{N})$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is a holomorphic line bundle on X. The singular set of ω is defined by $\mathrm{Sing}(\omega) = \{z \in X;\ \omega(z) = 0\}$.

Given a Pfaff system ω of rank p on X, then ω is determined by the following:

(i) a open covering $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ of X;
(ii) holomorphics p-forms $\omega_\alpha \in \Omega^p_{U_\alpha}$ satisfying

$$\omega_\alpha = (h_{\alpha\beta})\omega_\beta \qquad \text{on} \qquad U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset,$$

where $h_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{O}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)^*$ determines the cocycle representing $\mathcal{N}$.

Definition 2.2. We say that an analytic subvariety V of X is *invariant* by a Pfaff system ω if $i^*\omega \equiv 0$, where $i : V \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion.

Let ω be a Pfaff system on X as described above and V an analytic subvariety of X of pure codimension k . Suppose that for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$ we have

$$V \cap U_\alpha = \{z \in U_\alpha : f_{\alpha,1}(z) = \cdots = f_{\alpha,k}(z) = 0\},$$

where $f_{\alpha,1}, \ldots, f_{\alpha,k} \in \mathcal{O}(U_\alpha)$. If V is invariant by ω, then for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ there exists holomorphic $(p+1)$-forms $\theta^\alpha_{i1}, \ldots, \theta^\alpha_{ik} \in \Omega^{p+1}_{U_\alpha}$, such that

$$\omega_\alpha \wedge df_{\alpha,i} = f_{\alpha,1}\theta^\alpha_{i1} + \cdots + f_{\alpha,k}\theta^\alpha_{ik}. \tag{1}$$

2.2. **Pfaff Systems on $\mathbb{P}^n$.** Let $\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega^k_{\mathbb{P}^n}(r))$ be a holomorphic Pfaff system of rank k. Now, take a generic non-invariant linearly embedded subspace $i : H \simeq \mathbb{P}^k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$. We have an induced non-trivial section $i^*\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(H, \Omega^k_H(r)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^k, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^k}(-k-1+r))$, since $\Omega^k_{\mathbb{P}^k} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^k}(-k-1)$. The *degree* of ω is defined by

$$\deg(\omega) := \deg(Z(i^*\omega)) = -k - 1 + r.$$

In particular, $\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega^k_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\deg(\omega) + k + 1))$. A Pfaff system of degree d can be induced by a polynomial k-form on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ with homogeneous coefficients of degree $d+1$, see for instance [10].

2.3. **GSV-index on Surfaces.** In this section we present the Brunella's definition of GSV-index for one-dimensional holomorphic foliations on surfaces, see [5].

Let X be a complex compact surface and $\mathscr{F}$ an one-dimensional holomorphic foliation on X. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a reduced curve on X. Consider $\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega^1_X \otimes N\mathscr{F})$ a rank one Pfaff system inducing $\mathscr{F}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is invariant by $\mathscr{F}$ we say that $\mathscr{F}$ is *logarithmic along* $\mathcal{C}$.

Given a point $x \in \mathcal{C}$, let f be a local equation of $\mathcal{C}$ in a neighborhood U_α of x and let ω_α be the holomorphic 1-form inducing the foliation $\mathscr{F}$ on U_α. Since $\mathscr{F}$ is logarithmic along $\mathcal{C}$, it follows from [19, 17, 24] there are holomorphic functions g and ξ defined in a neighborhood of x, both does not vanish identically on each irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}$, such that

$$g\,\frac{\omega_\alpha}{f} = \xi\,\frac{df}{f} + \eta, \tag{2}$$

with η being a suitable holomorphic 1-form. M. Brunella in [5] showed that the GSV-index can be defined as follows:

Definition 2.3 (Brunella [5])**.** Let X, $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathscr{F}$ e x be as described above. We define

$$\mathrm{GSV}(\mathscr{F}, \mathcal{C}, x) = \mathrm{ord}_x\left(\frac{\xi}{g}|_{\mathcal{C}}\right),$$

where $\mathrm{ord}_x(\frac{\xi}{g}|_{\mathcal{C}})$ denoted the vanishes order of $\frac{\xi}{g}|_{\mathcal{C}}$ in x.

Theorem 2.4 (Brunella [5])**.** *Let X, $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathscr{F}$ and x be as described above. Then*

$$\sum_{x \in Sing(\mathscr{F}) \cap \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{GSV}(\mathscr{F}, \mathcal{C}, x) = N\mathscr{F} \cdot \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} \cdot \mathcal{C}\,.$$

In this work we will generalize the above Theorem.

2.4. **Decomposition of meromorphic forms.** A. G. Aleksandrov in [1] introduced the concept of *multiple residues* of logarithmic differentials forms along an analytic hypersurface. This concept is a generalization of the Saito's decomposition [19]. In this section, we will make a brief presentation about this decomposition of meromorphic forms which will be used in the definition of GSV-index for Pfaff systems.

Let U be a germ of n-dimensional complex manifold. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be an analytic reduced hypersurface on U. Consider the decomposition on irreducible components

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{D}_k,$$

and suppose that the analytic subvariety $V = \mathcal{D}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{D}_k$ has pure codimension k. We assume that

$$V = \{z \in U : f_1(z) = \cdots = f_k(z) = 0\},$$

with $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ and for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$,

$$\mathcal{D}_i = \{z \in U : f_i(z) = 0\}.$$

Since V is a reduced variety, then the k-form $df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_k$ is not identically zero on each irreducible component of V.

We denote by $\Omega^q_U(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_i)$ the $\mathcal{O}_U$-module of meromorphic differential q-forms with simple poles on the $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_i = \mathcal{D}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{D}_{i-1} \cup \mathcal{D}_{i+1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{D}_k$, for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$.

Theorem 2.5 (Aleksandrov [1])**.** *Let U, $\mathcal{D}$ and V as described above. If $\omega \in \Omega^q_U(\mathcal{D})$ is a meromorphic q-form such that*

$$df_j \wedge \omega \in \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Omega^{q+1}_U(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_i), \qquad j = 1, \ldots, k,$$

then, there exists a holomorphic function g, which is not identically zero on every irreducible component of V, a holomorphic $(q-k)$-form $\xi \in \Omega^{q-k}_U$ and a meromorphic q-form $\eta \in \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Omega^q_U(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_i)$ such that the following decomposition hold

$$g\omega = \frac{df_1}{f_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{df_k}{f_k} \wedge \xi + \eta. \tag{3}$$

Since g is not identically zero on every irreducible component of V, the restriction

$$\frac{\xi}{g}|_V$$

is well defined and it is called of *multiple residue* of meromorphic q-form ω.

Proposition 2.6 (Aleksandrov [1])**.** *On the conditions of Theorem 2.5, the multiple residues of differential form ω do not depend on decomposition (3).*

Remark 2.7. *We notice that (see* [1]*) in decomposition (3), the function g belong to the ideal (of $\mathcal{O}(U)$) generated by all $k \times k$ minors of Jacobian matrix* $\mathrm{Jac}(f_1, \ldots f_k)$ *of map*

$$z \in U \longmapsto (f_1(z), \ldots, f_k(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^k.$$

In other words, if for each multi-index $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$, $1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq n$, we denote the corresponding minor of $\mathrm{Jac}(f_1, \ldots f_k)$ *by*

$$\Delta_I = \det\left[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_{i_r}}\right], \quad 1 \leq i, r \leq k,$$

then g can be written as

$$g = \sum_{|I|=k} \lambda_I \, \Delta_I, \quad \lambda_I \in \mathcal{O}(U). \tag{4}$$

Moreover, if the meromorphic q-form ω is represented in U by

$$\omega = \frac{1}{f_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot f_k} \sum_{|J|=q} a_J(z) \, dZ_J, \quad a_J \in \mathcal{O}(U) \ ,$$

then for each minor Δ_I, hold

$$\Delta_I \sum_{|J|=q} a_J \, dZ_J = \tag{5}$$

$$= df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_k \wedge \left(\sum_{|I'|=q-k} a_{(I,I')} \, dZ_{I'} \right) + (f_1 \cdots f_k) \, \eta.$$

Thus, for the special case where $q = k$, we have that $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ is given by

$$\xi = \sum_{|I|=k} \lambda_I \, a_I \, . \tag{6}$$

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega^p_X \otimes \mathcal{N})$ a Pfaff system, of rank p, on a complex manifold X and V a reduced local complete intersection subvariety of codimension k. If V is invariant by ω, then for all local representation of $\omega_\alpha = \omega|_{U_\alpha}$ of ω, and all local expression of V in U_α*

$$V \cap U_\alpha = \{z \in U_\alpha : f_{\alpha,1}(z) = \cdots = f_{\alpha,k}(z) = 0\},$$

there exist a holomorphic function $g_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(U_\alpha)$, a holomorphic $(p-k)$-form $\xi_\alpha \in \Omega^{p-k}_{U_\alpha}$ and a holomorphic p-form $\eta_\alpha \in \Omega^p_{U_\alpha}$, such that

$$g_\alpha \, \omega_\alpha = df_{\alpha,1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{\alpha,k} \wedge \xi_\alpha + \eta_\alpha. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, g_α is not identically zero on every irreducible component of V and η_α is given by

$$\eta_\alpha = f_{\alpha,1} \, \eta_{\alpha,1} + \cdots + f_{\alpha,1} \, \eta_{\alpha,k},$$

where each $\eta_{\alpha,i} \in \Omega^p_{U_\alpha}$ is a holomorphic p-form.

Proof. Le us consider for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$

$$\mathcal{D}_i = \{z \in U_\alpha : f_{\alpha,i}(z) = 0\}.$$

and

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_i = \mathcal{D}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{D}_{i-1} \cup \mathcal{D}_{i+1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{D}_k.$$

Since V is invariant by ω it follows from the expression (1) that for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, there exist differentials $(p+1)$-forms $\theta^\alpha_{i1}, \ldots, \theta^\alpha_{ik} \in \Omega^{p+1}_{U_\alpha}$, such that

$$\omega_\alpha \wedge df_{\alpha,i} = f_{\alpha,1} \theta^\alpha_{i1} + \cdots + f_{\alpha,k} \theta^\alpha_{ik}.$$

With this, we deduce that

$$df_{\alpha,j} \wedge \frac{\omega_\alpha}{f_\alpha} \in \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Omega^p_{U_\alpha}(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_i), \qquad j = 1, \ldots, k.$$

Hence, the meromorphic p-form $\dfrac{\omega_\alpha}{f_\alpha}$ satisfies the hypotheses of the Theorem 2.5 and the decomposition (7) follows from decomposition (3). □

The decomposition (7) will be called by a *Aleksandrov-Saito's decomposition of* ω in U_α.

3. GSV-index for Pfaff system

In this section we will define the GSV-index for Pfaff systems.

Consider a Pfaff system $\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega^p_X \otimes \mathcal{N})$ rank p and V a reduced local complete intersection subvariety of codimension k invariant by ω. Let us denote $\mathrm{Sing}(\omega, V) := \mathrm{Sing}(\omega) \cap V$. We will also assume that the rank of ω coincides with the codimension of V, i.e., $p = k$. Fixed an irreducible component S_i of $\mathrm{Sing}(\omega, V)$, let us take $\omega_\alpha = \omega|_{U_\alpha}$, a local representation of ω, such that $U_\alpha \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$. Assume that ω_α is defined by

$$\omega_\alpha = \sum_{|I|=k} a_I(z) dZ_I, \quad a_I \in \mathcal{O}(U_\alpha).$$

Consider also a local expression of V in U_α, given by

$$V \cap U_\alpha = \{z \in U_\alpha : f_{\alpha,1}(z) = \cdots = f_{\alpha,k}(z) = 0\}.$$

and let us take an Aleksandrov-Saito's decomposition of ω in U_α,

$$g_\alpha \, \omega_\alpha = (df_{\alpha,1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{\alpha,k}) \, \xi_\alpha + \eta_\alpha, \tag{8}$$

with $\eta_\alpha = f_{\alpha,1} \, \eta_{\alpha,1} + \cdots + f_{\alpha,k} \, \eta_{\alpha,k}$, where $\eta_{\alpha,i} \in \Omega^k_{U_\alpha}$ and, furthermore, ξ_α being a holomorphic function.

Definition 3.1. We define

$$\mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i) := \mathrm{ord}_{S_i} \left(\frac{\xi_\alpha}{g_\alpha}|_V \right).$$

Theorem 3.2. $\mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i)$ *depends only on* ω, V *and* S_i*. Moreover, the following formula holds*

$$\sum_i \mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i)[S_i] = c_1([\mathcal{N} \otimes \det(N_{V/X})^{-1}])|_V \frown [V] \tag{9}$$

Proof. Firstly, it follows from the definition and Proposition 2.6 that $\mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i)$ do not depend on chosen decomposition of ω. Now, we will prove that $\mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i)$ does not depend on the local representation ω_α of ω, and does not depend on local expression for V:

$$V \cap U_\alpha = \{z \in U_\alpha : f_{\alpha,1}(z) = \cdots = f_{\alpha,k}(z) = 0\}.$$

In fact, if we consider another local representation $\omega_\beta = \omega|_{U_\beta}$, such that $U_\beta \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$ and other local expression for V

$$V \cap U_\beta = \{z \in U_\beta : f_{\beta,1}(z) = \cdots = f_{\beta,k}(z) = 0\},$$

we obtain the Aleksandrov-Saito's decomposition of ω in U_β

$$g_\beta\, \omega_\beta = (df_{\beta,1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{\beta,k})\, \xi_\beta + \eta_\beta \tag{10}$$

and the decomposition of ω in U_α

$$g_\alpha\, \omega_\alpha = (df_{\alpha,1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{\alpha,k})\, \xi_\alpha + \eta_\alpha \tag{11}$$

where $\eta_\beta|_V = \eta_\alpha|_V = 0$. Now, in the intersection $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$ we have that

$$(df_{\alpha,1} \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{\alpha,k}) = m_{\alpha\beta}\, (df_{\beta,1} \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{\beta,k}) + \theta_{\alpha,\beta} \tag{12}$$

where $m_{\alpha\beta}|_V \in \mathcal{O}_V(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap V)^*$ is the cocycle of the determinant of normal bundle $\det(N_{V/X})$ on V and $\theta_{\alpha,\beta}|_V = 0$. Also, in $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ we have that

$$\omega_\alpha = h_{\alpha\beta}\omega_\beta, \tag{13}$$

where $h_{\alpha\beta}, \in \mathcal{O}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)^*$ is the cocycle of the line bundle $\mathcal{N}$. On the one hand, by using (12) and (13) in (11), we obtain

$$(g_\alpha h_{\alpha\beta})\omega_\beta = (m_{\alpha\beta}\xi_\alpha)(df_{\beta,1} \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{\beta,k}) + \eta_\alpha + \theta_{\alpha,\beta} \tag{14}$$

On the other hand, by using (10) in (14), we obtain

$$(h_{\alpha\beta}\xi_\beta g_\alpha - m_{\alpha\beta}\xi_\alpha g_\beta)\, (df_{\beta,1} \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{\beta,k}) = \eta_\beta + g_\beta\eta_\alpha + g_\beta\theta_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Since $(\eta_\beta + g_\beta\eta_\alpha + g_\beta\theta_{\alpha,\beta})|_V \equiv 0$, we conclude that

$$(h_{\alpha\beta}\xi_\beta g_\alpha - m_{\alpha\beta}\xi_\alpha g_\beta)\, (df_{\beta,1} \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{\beta,k}) \equiv 0(\mod (f_{\beta,1}, \ldots, f_{\beta,k}))$$

It follows from [20] that there exist $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $r \geq 1$, such that

$$\mathscr{D}^r(h_{\alpha\beta}\xi_\beta g_\alpha - m_{\alpha\beta}\xi_\alpha g_\beta) \in df_{\beta,1} \wedge \Omega^{k-1}_{U_\beta} + \cdots + df_{\beta,k} \wedge \Omega^{k-1}_{U_\beta},$$

where $\mathscr{D}$ is the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{U_\beta}$ generated by all minors of maximal order of the Jacobian matrix $\mathrm{Jac}(f_{\beta,1}, \ldots, f_{\beta,k})$. Now, as observed in [1, Proposition 2] the image $\mathrm{Im}(\mathscr{D})$ of $\mathscr{D}$ in the ring $\mathcal{O}_{V\cap U_\beta}$ is not equal to $\mathrm{Ann}(\mathcal{O}_{V\cap U_\beta})$. In fact, since V is reduced, then $df_{\beta,1} \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{\beta,k}$ does not vanish identically on each irreducible component of V. Therefore, it follows from [7, Theorem 2.4. (1)] that the $\mathcal{O}_{V\cap U_\beta}$-depth of the

ideal $\mathscr{D}^r$ is at least 1. Then, there is $D \in \mathscr{D}$ which is not a zero-divisor in $\mathcal{O}_{V\cap U_\beta}$ and

$$D^r(h_{\alpha\beta}\xi_\beta g_\alpha - m_{\alpha\beta}\xi_\alpha g_\beta) \in df_{\beta,1}\wedge\Omega^{k-1}_{U_\beta} + \cdots + df_{\beta,k}\wedge\Omega^{k-1}_{U_\beta}.$$

Thus, the class $D^r(h_{\alpha\beta}\xi_\beta g_\alpha - m_{\alpha\beta}\xi_\alpha g_\beta) = 0 \in \Omega^k_{V\cap U_\beta}$. Then

$$(h_{\alpha\beta}\xi_\beta g_\alpha - m_{\alpha\beta}\xi_\alpha g_\beta)|_V = 0$$

since $D \in \mathscr{D}$ is not a zero-divisor. We conclude that

$$\frac{\xi_\alpha}{g_\alpha}|_V = h_{\alpha\beta}\,(m_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}\,\frac{\xi_\beta}{g_\beta}|_V. \tag{15}$$

Since $h_{\alpha\beta}$ and $(m_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}$ are non-vanishing holomorphic functions we have

$$\mathrm{ord}_{S_i}\left(\frac{\xi_\alpha}{g_\alpha}|_V\right) = \mathrm{ord}_{S_i}\left(\frac{\xi_\beta}{g_\beta}|_V\right).$$

Now, we will prove the formula (9). Indeed, consider the isomorphism determined by the duality of Poincaré

$$P_V : \mathrm{H}^2(V,\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2n-2k-2}(V,\mathbb{C}).$$

In particular, we have

$$P_V(c_1([\mathcal{N}\otimes\det(N_{V/X})^{-1}])|_V) = c_1([\mathcal{N}\otimes\det(N_{V/X})^{-1}])|_V \frown [V]. \tag{16}$$

Note that, by (15) the family of meromorphic functions $\mathfrak{s} = \{(\xi_\alpha/g_\alpha)|_V\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ defines a meromorphic section of the line bundle $[\mathcal{N}\otimes\det(N_{V/X})^{-1}]|_V$. Therefore, we have the divisor associated to the section $\mathfrak{s}$ given by

$$(\mathfrak{s})_0 = \sum_i \mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i)[S_i].$$

That is

$$\mathcal{O}((\mathfrak{s})_0) \cong [\mathcal{N}\otimes\det(N_{V/X})^{-1}]|_V.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1([\mathcal{N}\otimes\det(N_{V/X})^{-1}])|_V &= c_1(\mathcal{O}((\mathfrak{s})_0)) \\ &= c_1\left(\mathcal{O}\left(\sum_i \mathrm{GSV}(\omega,V,S_i)[S_i]\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_i \mathrm{GSV}(\omega,V,S_i)P_V^{-1}([S_i]), \end{aligned}$$

where each $P_V^{-1}([S_i])$ is the dual of the cycle $[S_i] \in \mathrm{H}_{2n-2k-2}(V,\mathbb{C})$ determined by S_i. Consequently,

$$P_V(c_1([\mathcal{N}\otimes \det(N_{V/X})^{-1}])|_V) = \sum_i \mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i)[S_i]. \tag{17}$$

Now, using (17) in equality (16), we obtain

$$\sum_i \mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i)[S_i] = c_1([\mathcal{N}\otimes \det(N_{V/X})^{-1}])|_V \frown [V].$$

□

Next result gives us an alternative way to calculate the GSV-index. This formula can be compared with the Suwa's formula in [25, Proposition 5.1].

Theorem 3.3. *Let ω, V and S_i be as described above. For each multi-index I, with $\mid I \mid = k$, the following formula holds*

$$\mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i) = \mathrm{ord}_{S_i}(a_I\,|_V) - \mathrm{ord}_{S_i}(\Delta_I\,|_V).$$

where Δ_I is the $k\times k$ minors of Jacobian matrix $\mathrm{Jac}(f_{\alpha,1},\ldots,f_{\alpha,k})$, corresponding to the multi-index I.

Proof. By the expression (5) we have

$$(\Delta_I.\sum_{|J|=k} a_J\, dZ_J)|_V = [(df_{\alpha,1}\wedge\ldots\wedge df_{\alpha,k})\, a_I]|_V.$$

Since

$$df_{\alpha,1}\wedge\ldots\wedge df_{\alpha,k} = \sum_{|J|=k}\Delta_J\, dZ_J,$$

for each J, with $\mid J \mid = k$, we get

$$(\Delta_I\, a_J)|_V = (\Delta_J\, a_I)|_V. \tag{18}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i) &= \mathrm{ord}_{S_i}\left(\frac{\xi_\alpha}{g_\alpha}|_V\right)\\
&= \mathrm{ord}_{S_i}\left(\frac{\xi_\alpha}{g_\alpha}|_V\right) + \mathrm{ord}_{S_i}(\Delta_I|_V) - \mathrm{ord}_{S_i}(\Delta_I|_V)\\
&= \mathrm{ord}_{S_i}\left(\frac{\xi_\alpha\,\Delta_I}{g_\alpha}|_V\right) - \mathrm{ord}_{S_i}(\Delta_I|_V).
\end{aligned}$$

By (6) we have

$$\xi_\alpha = \sum_{|J|=k}\lambda_J\, a_J.$$

Hence, by using (18) we obtain

$$\xi_\alpha \, \Delta_I|_V = \sum_{|J|=k} \lambda_J \, (a_J \, \Delta_I)|_V = \sum_{|J|=k} \lambda_J \, (\Delta_J \, a_I)|_V$$

$$= (\sum_{|J|=k} \lambda_J \, \Delta_J) \, a_I|_V = g_\alpha \, a_I|_V,$$

where in the last step we have used (4). Thus,

$$\mathrm{ord}_{S_i} \left(\frac{\xi_\alpha \, \Delta_I}{g_\alpha}|_V \right) = \mathrm{ord}_{S_i} \left(a_I \, |_V \right).$$

Then

$$\mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i) = \mathrm{ord}_{S_i}(a_I \, |_V) - \mathrm{ord}_{S_i}(\Delta_I \, |_V).$$

□

Corollary 3.4. *Let ω, V and S_i be as described above. If $S_i \cap \mathrm{Sing}(V) = \emptyset$, then* $\mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i) \geq 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, for all multi-index I, with $\mid I \mid = k$, we have

$$\mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i) = \mathrm{ord}_{S_i}(a_I \, |_V) - \mathrm{ord}_{S_i}(\Delta_I \, |_V). \tag{19}$$

Let $h_i \in \mathcal{O}_V(U_\alpha \cap V)$ be a function which defines locally S_i in $U_\alpha \cap V$, i.e.,

$$(U_\alpha \cap V) \cap S_i = \{z \in U_\alpha \cap V : h_i(z) = 0\}.$$

If $\mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i) < 0$ then, by (19), for each multi-index I, with $\mid I \mid = k$,

$$\mathrm{ord}_{S_i}(\Delta_I \, |_V) = \delta_I > 0$$

Thus,

$$\Delta_I|_V = h_i^{\delta_I} \, \mu_I|_V,$$

for some function $\mu_I \in \mathcal{O}_V(U_\alpha \cap V)$. This implies that for each multi-index I, with $\mid I \mid = k$, the following inclusion occurs

$$\{z \in U_\alpha \cap V : h_i(z) = 0\} \subset \{z \in U_\alpha \cap V : \Delta_I(z) = 0\}.$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$(U_\alpha \cap V) \cap S_i = \{z \in U_\alpha \cap V : h_i(z) = 0\} \subset \bigcap_{|I|=k} \{z \in U_\alpha \cap V : \Delta_I(z) = 0\} = U_\alpha \cap \mathrm{Sing}(V).$$

Therefore, we obtain $S_i \cap \mathrm{Sing}(V) \neq \emptyset$. □ □

Corollary 3.5. *Let ω and V be as described above. If V is smooth, then for all S_i*

$$\mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i) > 0.$$

Proof. If V is smooth, then there exist some $k \times k$ minor Δ_I (of Jacobian matrix $\mathrm{Jac}(f_{\alpha,1}, \ldots, f_{\alpha,k})$) which is not zero along V. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{ord}_{S_i}(\Delta_I|_V) = 0. \tag{20}$$

Therefore, it follows from Theorem 3.3 that

$$\mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i) = \mathrm{ord}_{S_i}(a_I\,|_V) - \mathrm{ord}_{S_i}(\Delta_I\,|_V) = \mathrm{ord}_{S_i}(a_I\,|_V) > 0.$$

□

4. An Application: Poincaré problem for Pfaff systems

In this section, we will consider the GSV-index for Pfaff systems on a projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$. We will see that the non-negativity of the GSV-index gives us the obstruction to the solution of the Poincaré Problem for Pfaff systems.

Theorem 4.1. *Let ω and S be as described above. If V is a complete intersection variety of codimension k and multidegree $(d_1, \ldots, d_k)$, which is invariant by ω on $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then*

$$\sum_i \mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i)\deg(S_i) \;=\; [d + k + 1 - (d_1 + \cdots + d_k)] \cdot (d_1 \cdots d_k).$$

In particular, if $\mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i) \geq 0$, for all i, we have

$$d_1 + \cdots + d_k \leq d + k + 1.$$

Proof. By applying the Theorem 3.2 and take degrees we obtain

$$\sum_i \mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i)\deg(S_i) \;=\; \deg\left(c_1([\mathcal{N} \otimes \det(N_{V/\mathbb{P}^n})^{-1}]|_V \frown [V]\right).$$

On the one hand, the normal bundle of V is given by

$$N_{V/\mathbb{P}^n} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d_k)|_V.$$

Thus

$$\det(N_{V/\mathbb{P}^n}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d_1 + \cdots + d_k)|_V.$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d + k + 1).$$

Now, since

$$[V] = (d_1 \cdots d_k)\; c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^k$$

we get

$$\deg([\mathcal{N} \otimes \det(N_{V/\mathbb{P}^n})^{-1}]|_V \frown [V]) = [(d + k + 1) - (d_1 + \cdots + d_k)] \cdot (d_1 \cdots d_k).$$

We obtain,

$$\sum_i \mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i)\deg(S_i) \quad = \quad [d+k+1-(d_1+\cdots+d_k)]\cdot(d_1\cdots d_k).$$

Now, if $\mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i) \geq 0$, for all i, we have

$$0 \leq \sum_i \mathrm{GSV}(\omega, V, S_i)\deg(S_i) \quad = \quad [d+k+1-(d_1+\cdots+d_k)]\cdot(d_1\cdots d_k).$$

This implies that

$$d_1+\cdots+d_k \leq d+k+1.$$

□

In the next result we obtain a similar bound due to Esteves–Cruz [12] and Corrêa–Jardim [9].

Corollary 4.2. *Let ω, V and S be as described above with V invariant by ω. Suppose that for all i we have $S_i \cap \mathrm{Sing}(V) = \emptyset$, then*

$$d_1+\cdots+d_k \leq d+k+1.$$

Moreover, if V is regular we have

$$d_1+\cdots+d_k \leq d+k.$$

Proof. The result follows from Corolary 3.5 and Corolary 3.4. □

Now, we will give a optimal example.

Example 4.3. Consider the Pfaff system $\omega \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega^k_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d+k+1))$ given by

$$\omega = \sum_{0\leq j\leq k} (-1)^j d_j f_j \; df_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{df_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_k,$$

where f_j is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d_j. We can see that

$$d_0+d_1+\cdots+d_k = d+k+1.$$

Suppose that $\deg(f_0) = d_0 = 1$ and that $V = \{f_1 = \cdots = f_k = 0\}$ is smooth. We have that V is invariant by ω and

$$d_1+\cdots+d_k = d+k.$$

References

[1] A.G. Aleksandrov, *Multidimensional residue theory and the logarithmic De Rham Complex*, Journal of Singularities, Volume 5, p. 1-18, 2012.

[2] C. Camacho and P. Sad, *Invariant varieties through singularities of holomorphic vector fields*, Ann. of Math. 115 (1982), 579-595.

[3] J-P. Brasselet, J. Seade and T. Suwa, *An explicit cycle representing the Fulton-Johnson class*, Singularités Franco-Japonaises, Smin. Congr., 10, Soc. Math. France, Paris,p. 21-38, 2005.

[4] J-P. Brasselet, J. Seade and T. Suwa, *Vector Fields on Singular Varieties*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Spring, 2009.

[5] M. Brunella, *Some remarks on indices of holomorphic fields*, Publicacions Matemàtiques, Vol 41, p. 527-544, 1997.

[6] M. Brunella and L. G. Mendes, *Bounding the degree of Solutions to Pfaff Equations*, Publicacions Matemàtiques, Vol 44, p. 593-604, 2000.

[7] D. A. Buchsbaum, D. S. Rim, *A generalized Koszul complex. II. Depth and multiplicity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 111 (1964), 197-224.

[8] V. Cavalier and D. Lehmann, *On the Poincaré inequality for one-dimensional foliations*, Compositio Math., 142 (2006), 529-540.

[9] M. Corrêa Jr ; M. Jardim, *Bounds for sectional genera of varieties invariant under Pfaff fields*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, vol. 56-2, pg 343-352, 2012

[10] M. Corrêa Jr ; L. G. Maza, M. G. Soares, *Hypersurfaces Invariant by Pfaff Equations*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, 17, 1450051. 2015.

[11] D. Cerveau and A. Lins Neto *Holomorphic Foliations in* $\mathbb{CP}^2$ *having an invariant algebraic curve*, Annales de l'Inst itut Fourier, 41, 4 (1991),

[12] J. D. A. Cruz and E. Esteves, *Regularity of subschemes invariant under Pfaff fields on projective spaces*. Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici v. 86, p. 947-965, 2011.

[13] E. Esteves, S. L. Kleiman, *Bounding Solutions of Pfaff Equations*, Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), 3771-3793.

[14] X. Gómez-Mont, *An algebraic formula for the index of a vector field on a hypersurface with an isolated singularity*, J. Algebraic Geom. 7 (1998), 731-752.

[15] X. Gómez-Mont, J. Seade and A. Verjovsky, *The index of a holomorphic flow with an isolated singularity*, Math. Ann. 291 (1991), 737-751.

[16] D. Lehmann, M. Soares and T. Suwa, *On the index of a holomorphic vector field tangent to a singular variety*, Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat. 26 (1995), pp. 183-199.

[17] A. Lins Neto, *Algebraic solutions of polynomial differential equations and foliations in dimension two*, in Holomorphic Dynamics, (Mexico, 1986), Springer, Lecture Notes 1345, 1988, pp. 192-232

[18] H. Poincaré, *Sur l'integration algbrique des equations différentielles du premier ordre et du premier degré*, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 5, (1891), 161-191.

[19] K. Saito, *Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 27(2), p. 265-291, 1980.

[20] K. Saito,*On a generalization of de-Rham lemma*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 26 (1976), n.2, 165-170.

[21] B. Khanedani and T. Suwa, *First variation of holomorphic forms and some applications*, Hokkaido Math. J. 26 (1997), 323-335.

[22] J. Seade and T. Suwa, *A residue formula for the index of a holomorphic flow*, Math. Ann. 304 (1996), 621-634.

[23] J. Seade and T. Suwa, *An adjunction formula for local complete intersections*, Internat. J. Math. 9 (1998), 759-768.

[24] T. Suwa, *Indices of holomorphic vector fields relative to invariant curves on surfaces* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995), 2989-2997.

[25] T. Suwa, GSV-*Indices as Residues*, Jornal of Singularities, Volume 9 (2014), 206-218.

[26] M. G. Soares, *The Poincaré problem for hypersurfaces invariant by one-dimensional foliations*, Inventiones Mathematicae, v. 128, p. 495-500, 1997.

Maurício Corrêa, ICEx - UFMG, Departamento de Matemática, Av. Antônio Carlos 6627, 30123-970 Belo Horizonte MG, Brazil

E-mail address: mauriciojr@ufmg.br

Diogo da Silva Machado, DMA - UFV , Av P.H. Rolfs, s/n, Campus Universitário, Vicosa MG, Brazil, CEP 36570-900

E-mail address: diogo.machado@ufv.com.br